Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,224	SUGIMOTO, KOI	CHIO
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Fatahiyar	2674	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Examiner's Amendment</u>		
(1) <u>Mike Fatahiyar</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Jay H. Maioli(Attorney).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>11 January 2005</u>	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
ii res, provide a blief description.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 15 and 24-25			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Maioli agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner and entered by the examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
u. Latalis			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appro	priate)